

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH TRANSMISSION OF MIDDLE EAST RESPIRATORY SYNDROME AMONG KOREAN HEALTHCARE WORKERS: INFECTION CONTROL VIA EXTENDED HEALTHCARE CONTACT MANAGEMENT IN A SECONDARY OUTBREAK HOSPITAL

Y. PARK,¹ B.J. KIM,² K.H. CHUNG², Y.I. HWANG¹

J.Y. PARK,¹ B.J. KIM,² K.H. CHUNG⁺, Y.I. HWANG ¹Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Pulmonology- Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, Anyang, Republic of Korea, and ²The Armed Forces Daejeon Hospital, Internal Medicine, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

Background and Aims: The risk factors for infection and mode of transmission for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) are unknown. During May and June 2015, a large MERS-CoV outbreak occurred in Korea.

Methods: We performed a case-control cohort analysis to identify the risk factors for transmission in a secondary outbreak hospital. Healthcare contacts were assigned to hospital isolation or home isolation.

Results: We evaluate all individuals in the hospital isolation cohort (n = 66; 40 inpatients and 26 healthcare workers [HCWs]) and HCWs in the home quarantine cohort who directly contacted the index case (n = 14). One HCW was confirmed to be infected with MERS-CoV and 4 HCWs were identified as probable cases. All HCW cases (confirmed or probable) visited the index case in his hospital room. When we compared the HCW cases and controls, the only significant risk factor for transmission was the index patient coughing at contact (100% vs. 30.4%, p = 0.008). There were no other significant differences between the two groups, including contact duration, number of contacts, and medical activities.



ו ועעויב דא ווטא טומוג טו גווב טמנובוונס מווע גוובוו עעמומווגוווב עוטעטס	Fiaure	1/	A flow	chart	of th	e pa	tients	and	their	quarantine	aroups
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	----	--------	-------	-------	------	--------	-----	-------	------------	--------

Variables	Confirmed or probable cases n = 5	Negative controls n = 35	Total n = 40	p-value	OR	95% CI
Mode of contact						
Saw (viewed) the index case	5 (100)	23 (65.7)	28 (70.0)	0.298		
Entered the index case's room	5 (100)	16 (45.7)	21 (52.5)			
Outpatient clinic area	0(0)	7 (20.0)	7 (17.5)			
Contact only with specimen	0(0)	2 (5.7)	2 (5.0)	1.000		
No memory about contact history	0 (0)	10 (28.6)	10 (25.0)	0.306		
Contact duration (n=28)						
Maximal contact number per day	2.2 ± 1.3	1.9 ± 0.8	1.9 ± 0.9	0.487		
Contact days	2.0 ± 0.7	2.3 ± 1.2	2.2 ± 1.1	0.471		
Total number of contact	4.4 ± 3.5	4.5 ± 3.5	4.5 ± 3.4	0.944		
The longest exposure time, min	3.2 ± 3.9	5.8 ± 8.1	5.3 ± 7.6	0.500		
Total exposure time, min	9.6 ± 11.9	12.8 ± 10.7	12.2 ± 10.8	0.555		
Protection while contact (n=28)						
Surgical mask	3/5 (60.0)	21/23 (91.3)	24/28 (85.7)	0.07	0.14	0.01-1.43
Goggles	0(0)	0(0)	0 (0)			
Gloves	0 (0)	2/23 (8.7)	2/28 (7.1)	1.000		
Procedures of exposure (n=28)						
Touched the index case	3/5 (60.0)	14/23 (60.9)	17/28 (60.7)	1.000	0.96	0.13-6.95
Touched the fomite	3/5 (60.0)	12/23 (52.2)	15/28 (53.6)	1.000	1.38	0.19-9.83
Distance from index case, < 2 meters	5/5 (100)	20/23 (87.0)	25/28 (89.3)	1.000		
Talked with the index case	4/5 (80.0)	13/23 (56.5)	17/28 (60.7)	0.619	3.01	0.30-31.5
Taking temperature	3/5 (60.0)	9/23 (39.1)	12/28 (42.9)	0.624	2.33	0.32-16.8
Checking blood pressure	1/5 (20.0)	7/23 (30.4)	8/28 (28.6)	1.000	0.57	0.05-6.08
Venipuncture or venous access	1/5 (20.0)	6/23 (26.1)	7/28 (25.0)	1.000	0.71	0.07-7.66
Intravenous infusion by IV line	1/5 (20.0)	9/23 (39.1)	10/28 (35.7)	0.626	0.39	0.04~4.00
Cleaning the bedding	0(0)	4/23 (17.4)	4/28 (14.3)	1.000		
Index case coughing during contact	5/5 (100)	7/23 (30.4)	12/28 (42.9)	0.008		
Hand washing after contact	3/6 (60.0)	12/23 (52.2)	15/28 (53.6)	1.000	1.38	0 19-9 87

Figure 2 Factors associated with transmission of MERS to healthcare workers, by acquisition status.

Conclusions: The MERS-CoV can be transmitted via minimal contact in healthcare facilities. Therefore, we recommend early extended contact management, which may successfully reduce infection and prevent interhospital transmission.



PULMONARY MUCORMYCOSIS: A CASE REPORT

. SULEESATHIRA, P. RATANAWATKUL, W. REECHAIPICHITKUL Faculty of Medicine/Khon Kaen University, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care- Department of Medicine, Khon Kaen, Thailand

Background and Aims: Mucormycosis is an opportunistic fungal infection that occurs in immunocompromised host. Rhinocerebral infection is the most common form and usually occurs in poorly controlled diabetes patients especially who with diabetic ketoacidosis. Pulmonary mucormycosis, however, is a rare clinical presentation.

Methods

Results: This study reported a 62-year-old male teacher living in Chaiyaphum Province, presented with subacute fever, 10 kgs weight-loss and non-massive hemoptysis. He did not have symptoms of sinusitis. His underlying disease is a well-controlled diabetes mellitus, which is recently diagnosed for 6 months and no history of diabetic ketoacidosis. Physical examination revealed high-grade fever and bronchial breath sound with dense consolidation at left upper lung. Chest radiograph showed dense consolidation at left upper lung. The initial investigations were leukocytosis, normal blood sugar, no organisms on sputum Gram's stain and AFB smear. His melioid titer was 1:40. CT chest revealed area of consolidation at anterior segment of left upper lung and round-shaped hypodense lesion within the consolidation. He underwent bronchoscopy and transbronchial lung biopsy. The specimen showed broad non-septate hyphae with perpendicular branching. Pulmonary mucormycosis was diagnosed and treated with amphotericin B and followed by posaconazole. After 9 months of antifungal therapy, patient's symptoms improved but left upper lung lesion still persisted. Left upper lobe lobectomy was done.

Conclusions: Then pulmonary mucormycosis should be concern in dia-betic patients even though well controlled blood sugar. Patients with diabetes, the course may be more subacute than hematologic malignancy and organ transplant patients. The treatment is combination of anti-fungal therapy and surgical removal.



PREVALENCE AND RISK FACTORS OF VIRAL INFECTION IN HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS WITH ACUTE EXACERBATION OF CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE

X. TINGTING, P. LUO, C. XIN

Zhujiang Hospital- Southern Medical University- Guangzhou -Guangdong province- C, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Guangzhou, China

Background and Aims: To detect the virus using Ploymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) technology in the hospitalized patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) and investi-gate prevalence of viral infection and the risk factors for AECOPD.

Methods: We did a retrospective investigation of patients with AECOPD from May 2012 to May 2015, collected patient's basic information, clinical data and length of hospital stay, and analysis the virus epidemiology by using PCR. A logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate the risk factors

Results: 127 patients with AECOPD were included in this study. 52 episodes (40.94%) yielded positive viral PCR results, only one virus infection 36 episodes (28.34%), mixed infection 16 episodes (12.60%). AECOPD patients with a viral infection were associated with longer hospital stay (11.5 \pm 0.96 vs 8.99 \pm 0.42, P = 0.000). Multiple regression analysis showed that smoking (P = 0.029), diabetes (P = 0.001), respiratory failure (P = 0.049), frequency of exacerbations in previous year (P = 0.028) were the risk factors.

Conclusions: Prevalence of respiratory viral infections was high in hospitalized patients with AECOPD. The length of hospital stay was longer in AECOPD patients from who a virus was isolated. Smoking, diabetes, respiratory failure, and frequency of exacerbations in previous year were the risk factors of a viral infection. Early identification of these risk factors may help reduce the incidence of AECOPD.

© 2016 Asian Pacific Society of Respirology